

Understanding the Equity Premium Puzzle and the Correlation Puzzle

R. Albuquerque, M. Eichenbaum, S. Rebelo

Discussion by K. Adam

Mannheim University & CEPR

May 2012

- Lucas AP model + EZ preferences + persistent time pref shocks
 - => sizable equity premium (2.7%) with low risk aversion (≈ 1)
 - => disconnect between AP returns & cons./div. growth
 - + range of other moments of APs & fundamentals
- Simplicity & elegance of the model:
 - => sizable quantitative improvement relative to Lucas case

Summary

- Observable fundamentals (D & C): iid growth rates
=> time preference only state variable

- Observable fundamentals (D & C): iid growth rates

=> time preference only state variable

- Significant advance over more complicated alternatives:

Long-run risk models with EZ preferences (Bansal et al)

- growth rates: persistent + stochastic volatility
- persistent comp. of growth is AR(1) + stoch vola shocks
- eqn describing evolution of volatility

=> less successful in replicating the disconnect puzzle

=> need much higher risk aversion (~ 10)

Outline of Remaining Discussion

- 1 How does the model achieve the resolution of the
 - disconnect puzzle?
 - the equity premium puzzle?
- 2 What 'side effects' are generated?
- 3 General comment on pref-based explanations of AP fluctuations

The Disconnect Puzzle from a Slightly Different Angle

- Fundamental AP Equation

$$P_t = E_t[M_{t+1}(P_{t+1} + D_{t+1})]$$

Forward iteration + TVC =>

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t\left[M_{t+1} \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1} M_{t+2} \frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots\right]$$

M : some function of C and its lags

The Disconnect Puzzle from a Slightly Different Angle

- Fundamental AP Equation

$$P_t = E_t[M_{t+1}(P_{t+1} + D_{t+1})]$$

Forward iteration + TVC =>

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t\left[M_{t+1}\frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1}M_{t+2}\frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots\right]$$

M : some function of C and its lags

- PD ratio on left of equation: large & very persistent fluctuations

The Disconnect Puzzle from a Slightly Different Angle

- Fundamental AP Equation

$$P_t = E_t[M_{t+1}(P_{t+1} + D_{t+1})]$$

Forward iteration + TVC =>

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t\left[M_{t+1}\frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1}M_{t+2}\frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots\right]$$

M : some function of C and its lags

- PD ratio on left of equation: large & very persistent fluctuations
- Observable fundamentals on the right ($\Delta C, \Delta D$ and R) :
'stubbornly stable'
& w/o much predictability: in expectations almost a constant!

The Disconnect Puzzle from a Slightly Different Angle

- Fundamental AP Equation

$$P_t = E_t[M_{t+1}(P_{t+1} + D_{t+1})]$$

Forward iteration + TVC =>

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t\left[M_{t+1}\frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1}M_{t+2}\frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots\right]$$

M : some function of C and its lags

- PD ratio on left of equation: large & very persistent fluctuations
- Observable fundamentals on the right ($\Delta C, \Delta D$ and R) :
'stubbornly stable'
& w/o much predictability: in expectations almost a constant!
- P/D on the left largely unrelated to future C and D : 'disconnect puzzle'

How to Resolve The Disconnect Puzzle?

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t \left[M_{t+1} \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1} M_{t+2} \frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots \right]$$

- Degree of freedom is the modeling of preferences M_t :
large and persistent fluctuations in M_{t+i} needed \Rightarrow P/D

How to Resolve The Disconnect Puzzle?

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t \left[M_{t+1} \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1} M_{t+2} \frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots \right]$$

- Degree of freedom is the modeling of preferences M_t :
large and persistent fluctuations in M_{t+i} needed \Rightarrow P/D
- Campbell & Cochrane(1999): NL habits with 'long memory'
 \Rightarrow low frequency swings in M

How to Resolve The Disconnect Puzzle?

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t \left[M_{t+1} \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1} M_{t+2} \frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots \right]$$

- Degree of freedom is the modeling of preferences M_t :
large and persistent fluctuations in M_{t+i} needed \Rightarrow P/D
- Campbell & Cochrane(1999): NL habits with 'long memory'
 \Rightarrow low frequency swings in M
- Bansal & Yaron (various papers): time-varying growth components in C & D + EZ preference with suff. high substitution elasticity

How to Resolve The Disconnect Puzzle?

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t \left[M_{t+1} \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} + M_{t+1} M_{t+2} \frac{D_{t+2}}{D_{t+1}} + \dots \right]$$

- Degree of freedom is the modeling of preferences M_t :
large and persistent fluctuations in M_{t+i} needed \Rightarrow P/D
- Campbell & Cochrane(1999): NL habits with 'long memory'
 \Rightarrow low frequency swings in M
- Bansal & Yaron (various papers): time-varying growth components in C & D + EZ preference with suff. high substitution elasticity
- Adam, Marcet & Nicolini (2011): learning-induced low frequency swings in optimism about future returns

How to Resolve The Disconnect Puzzle?

- New proposal in this paper: persistent & time-varying discount factor

$$\log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t) = 0.9992 \log(\lambda_t/\lambda_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

- 'Almost closed-form' expression for log SDF:

$$\log M_{t+1} = a + \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t) - \frac{\theta}{\psi} \Delta c_{t+1} + (\theta - 1) \underbrace{r_{c,t+1}}_{\text{Return of C claim}}$$

How to Resolve The Disconnect Puzzle?

- New proposal in this paper: persistent & time-varying discount factor

$$\log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t) = 0.9992 \log(\lambda_t/\lambda_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

- 'Almost closed-form' expression for log SDF:

$$\log M_{t+1} = a + \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t) - \frac{\theta}{\psi} \Delta c_{t+1} + (\theta - 1) \underbrace{r_{c,t+1}}_{\text{Return of C claim}}$$

- Interpretation difficult:

$r_{c,t+1}$ in EQ a function current and future $\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t \dots$

How to Resolve The Disconnect Puzzle?

- New proposal in this paper: persistent & time-varying discount factor

$$\log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t) = 0.9992 \log(\lambda_t/\lambda_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

- 'Almost closed-form' expression for log SDF:

$$\log M_{t+1} = a + \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t) - \frac{\theta}{\psi} \Delta c_{t+1} + (\theta - 1) \underbrace{r_{c,t+1}}_{\text{Return of C claim}}$$

- Interpretation difficult:

$r_{c,t+1}$ in EQ a function current and future $\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t \dots$

- When & how does it give rise to a disconnect & EP?

Resolving The Disconnect Puzzle

- Instead of Campbell-Shiller approximation use

$$\begin{aligned}r_{c,t+1} &= \log \frac{P_{t+1}^c + C_{t+1}}{P_t^c} \\ &= \log (P_{t+1}^c / C_{t+1} + 1) - \log P_t^c / C_t + \log C_{t+1} / C_t \\ &\approx \log(P_{t+1}^c / C_{t+1}) - \log(P_{t+1}^c / C_{t+1}) + \Delta c_{t+1}\end{aligned}$$

Resolving The Disconnect Puzzle

- Instead of Campbell-Shiller approximation use

$$\begin{aligned}r_{c,t+1} &= \log \frac{P_{t+1}^c + C_{t+1}}{P_t^c} \\ &= \log (P_{t+1}^c / C_{t+1} + 1) - \log P_t^c / C_t + \log C_{t+1} / C_t \\ &\approx \log(P_{t+1}^c / C_{t+1}) - \log(P_{t+1}^c / C_{t+1}) + \Delta c_{t+1}\end{aligned}$$

- With

$$\log P_t^c / C_t^c = A_{c0} + A_{c1} \log \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t} \text{ and } \rho \approx 1$$

we get

$$r_{c,t+1} \approx A_{c1} \varepsilon_{t+2} + \Delta c_{t+1}$$

Resolving The Disconnect Puzzle

- **Approximate closed form expression for SDF:**

$$\log M_{t+1} \approx a + \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t) - \frac{\theta}{\psi} \Delta c_{t+1} + (\theta - 1) (A_{c1} \varepsilon_{t+2} + \Delta c_{t+1})$$

- All terms iid except for $\log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$, which is highly persistent
 \Rightarrow **disconnect if $\theta \neq 0$!**
- Disconnect even if $\theta = 1$: CRRA case

Matching the EP Puzzle

- Consider the AP equation

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t \left[M_{t+1} \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{D_{t+1}} + 1 \right) \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} \right]$$

- In EQ:

$$\log \frac{P_{t+1}}{D_{t+1}} = A_{d0} + A_{d1} \underbrace{\log(\lambda_{t+2}/\lambda_{t+1})}_{\text{a function of } \varepsilon_{t+2}}$$

Matching the EP Puzzle

- Consider the AP equation

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t \left[M_{t+1} \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{D_{t+1}} + 1 \right) \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} \right]$$

- In EQ:

$$\log \frac{P_{t+1}}{D_{t+1}} = A_{d0} + A_{d1} \underbrace{\log(\lambda_{t+2}/\lambda_{t+1})}_{\text{a function of } \varepsilon_{t+2}}$$

- M_{t+1} also a function of ε_{t+2}

$$\log M_{t+1} \approx \dots + (\theta - 1) (A_{c1} \varepsilon_{t+2} + \Delta c_{t+1})$$

Matching the EP Puzzle

- Consider the AP equation

$$\frac{P_t}{D_t} = E_t \left[M_{t+1} \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{D_{t+1}} + 1 \right) \frac{D_{t+1}}{D_t} \right]$$

- In EQ:

$$\log \frac{P_{t+1}}{D_{t+1}} = A_{d0} + A_{d1} \underbrace{\log(\lambda_{t+2}/\lambda_{t+1})}_{\text{a function of } \varepsilon_{t+2}}$$

- M_{t+1} also a function of ε_{t+2}

$$\log M_{t+1} \approx \dots + (\theta - 1) (A_{c1}\varepsilon_{t+2} + \Delta c_{t+1})$$

- Risk premium emerges: discount effect is proportional to

$$(\theta - 1)A_{c1}A_{d1}\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

Matching the EP Puzzle

- Discount effect is proportional to

$$(\theta - 1)A_{c1}A_{d1}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$$

- Has to be negative so that $P/D \downarrow \Rightarrow$ dividend yield & EP increase.

Matching the EP Puzzle

- Discount effect is proportional to

$$(\theta - 1)A_{c1}A_{d1}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$$

- Has to be negative so that $P/D \downarrow \Rightarrow$ dividend yield & EP increase.
- If A_{c1} and A_{d1} have same sign: equity premium whenever $\theta < 1$
 \Rightarrow emerges in all estimations $\theta \approx -0.5$

Matching the EP Puzzle

- Discount effect is proportional to

$$(\theta - 1)A_{c1}A_{d1}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$$

- Has to be negative so that $P/D \downarrow \Rightarrow$ dividend yield & EP increase.
- If A_{c1} and A_{d1} have same sign: equity premium whenever $\theta < 1$
 \Rightarrow emerges in all estimations $\theta \approx -0.5$
- IES largely irrelevant for EP: only $\theta = (1 - \gamma)/(1 - 1/\psi)$ matters!

Which Side Effects from Discount Factor Shocks?

- SDF: $\log M_{t+1} \propto \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$
- Low frequency effect of $\theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ shows up also in safe assets
=> safe assets are also 'disconnecting'

Which Side Effects from Discount Factor Shocks?

- SDF: $\log M_{t+1} \propto \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$
- Low frequency effect of $\theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ shows up also in safe assets
=> safe assets are also 'disconnecting'
- PD ratio in model predicts future short rates (not in the data)

Which Side Effects from Discount Factor Shocks?

- SDF: $\log M_{t+1} \propto \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$
- Low frequency effect of $\theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ shows up also in safe assets
=> safe assets are also 'disconnecting'
- PD ratio in model predicts future short rates (not in the data)
- Std of short real rate in model : 3.2% annually
(why is θ not showing up in the loading of $\log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ on r_t^f ?)

Which Side Effects from Discount Factor Shocks?

- SDF: $\log M_{t+1} \propto \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$
- Low frequency effect of $\theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ shows up also in safe assets
=> safe assets are also 'disconnecting'
- PD ratio in model predicts future short rates (not in the data)
- Std of short real rate in model : 3.2% annually
(why is θ not showing up in the loading of $\log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ on r_t^f ?)
- Consensus in the literature: ex- ante risk free rate is constant over time (Campbell & Cochrane (1999))

Which Side Effects from Discount Factor Shocks?

- SDF: $\log M_{t+1} \propto \theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$
- Low frequency effect of $\theta \log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ shows up also in safe assets
=> safe assets are also 'disconnecting'
- PD ratio in model predicts future short rates (not in the data)
- Std of short real rate in model : 3.2% annually
(why is θ not showing up in the loading of $\log(\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t)$ on r_t^f ?)
- Consensus in the literature: ex- ante risk free rate is constant over time (Campbell & Cochrane (1999))
- Volatility of ex-ante risk vs. ex-post risk free rate:

$$E_t \left[\frac{1 + i_t}{1 + \pi_{t+1}} \right] \quad \text{versus} \quad \frac{1 + i_t}{1 + \pi_{t+1}}$$

Which Side Effects from Discount Factor Shocks?

- Larger difficulties emerge for long bonds:

Price of long bonds declines as PD falls: in the data?

Too much vola for price of long bonds?

Which Side Effects from Discount Factor Shocks?

- Larger difficulties emerge for long bonds:

Price of long bonds declines as PD falls: in the data?

Too much vola for price of long bonds?

- Too much persistence?

Monthly persistence of $\log \lambda_{t+1} / \lambda_t$ is 0.9992 \Rightarrow 0.9904 annually

PD ratio persistence in the model 0.99?

\Rightarrow Annual persistence of PD ratio in the data: ~ 0.72

A General Remark on RE AP Models

- EM approach to AP: Boom and bust cycles in APs are fundamentally justified
- Adam & Marcet (2011): RE-component of EM AP models
=> return expectations low when PD ratio high (and vice versa)
- Follows from low frequency & mean-reverting disconnect of PD ratio
+ unpredictability of D growth

Investors' Return Expectations in the US



Figure: Average 1 year ahead stock market return exp. (UBS/Gallup Survey Data).

- Great paper! (to be written)
- Clean and elegant AP model:
 - 2 simple departures from Lucas AP model
 - => impressive quantitative improvement
- Some open issues for further research