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The Irish financial crisis was rooted in insufficient resilience of both lenders and borrowers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insufficient lender resilience in the crisis</th>
<th>Insufficient borrower resilience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32% Peak NPL ratio of Irish banks</td>
<td>200% Pre-crisis household debt to income ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14% Cumulative P&amp;L losses as a % of starting RWAs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€64bn State capital injections to recapitalise six Irish banks</td>
<td>26% Peak share of mortgage balances in arrears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40% Peak share of mortgagors in negative equity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Central Bank has actively deployed macroprudential policy tools to strengthen both lender and borrower resilience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortgage measures</th>
<th>Macroprudential capital buffers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Limits on the share of new lending extended above certain regulatory thresholds</td>
<td>• Countercyclical Capital Buffer (CCyB): currently set at 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Loan-to-income (LTI) and loan-to-value (LTV) thresholds</td>
<td>• Buffers for systemically-important institutions (O-SII): currently set between 0-1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Apply to different segments of the market (first-time buyer, second or subsequent buyer, buy-to-let)</td>
<td>• Systemic Risk Buffer (SyRB): Requested power from Minister of Finance / not currently active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Objectives of mortgage measures in Ireland

i. Strengthen resilience of lenders and borrowers to adverse shocks

Highly-indebted households more likely to default in the face of adverse shocks (eg to incomes or interest rates). This can adversely affect banks’ solvency and their ability to continue lending to the economy. A large number of distressed households can also have adverse macroeconomic implications in and of itself.

iii. Dampen pro-cyclicality and prevent emergence of credit-house price spiral

A feedback loop between loosening underwriting standards, borrowing and rising asset prices, operating through pro-cyclical behaviour of both lenders and borrowers, can itself be a source of shocks. Mortgage measures reduce likelihood / magnitude of an adverse shock stemming from this credit-house price spiral. This is not about targeting house prices.
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Mortgage lending continued to grow, but composition changed significantly

Gross mortgage lending, aggregate

Gross mortgage lending, split between mortgages below thresholds (conforming) and above (non-conforming)
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Allowance regime working as it should

Share of mortgage lending with an allowance

- Those most likely to be above the limits are:
  - In Dublin, where house prices are particularly elevated relative to incomes
  - Younger households, which would be expected to have faster expected income growth
  - Single-income households, which cannot rely on joint income to fund house purchase

- Allowance regime means that individuals can still access higher loan amounts relative to incomes, easing some of the distributional concerns
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Increased “binding-ness” of measures over time

- As house prices have grown faster than incomes in Ireland, measures have become more binding

- Most evident in “bunching” just below regulatory limits
  - Around 35% of all First Time Buyers just below the 3.5 LTI limit in 2018
  - Lenders also seeking to maximize allowance regime: eg for a mortgage above 3.5 LTI, give LTV just below limit

- The fact that measures are becoming more binding not surprising. Key design feature of the regime to be more binding at some points of the cycle than others.
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Some evidence of measures constraining house price growth expectation upon introduction

House price growth expectations over the next quarter

Factors expected to have biggest (positive or negative) impact on property market one next year ahead (2015)

Sources: Central Bank of Ireland and SCSI data. Note: Chart is based on a summary of the 146 factors listed by 72 individuals.
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Some evidence of risk shifting by banks

• Mortgage measures constrain risk-taking by lenders (relative to their own preferred level of risk-taking). This could incentivize banks to increase risk-taking elsewhere (‘waterbed’ effect)

• Some evidence of that:

  - Banks most affected by mortgage measures upon introduction saw shift in distribution of lending towards riskier SME and corporate loans and holdings of higher-yielding securities (Acharya et al, 2019)

  - LTV and LTI levels of Irish bank lending in the UK mortgage market rose by more than local competitors after introduction of Irish measures (McCann and O’Toole, 2019)
Legitimacy and political economy considerations

- Deep scars from financial crisis contributed to build public support in Ireland
- Clarity over objectives of policy: what you are – and are not – trying to achieve
- Specific design matters: Allowances important element of the regime (not about individuals)
- Annual, in-depth, evidence-based review of effectiveness of measures in meeting objectives
- Maintaining support as crisis memories will be a challenge for the future

Interest in “Central Bank mortgage rules” in Ireland as measured by Google trends
Interactions of policy

- Measures to strengthen borrower and lender resilience are (partially) complementary.

- All else equal, absent mortgage measures, CCyB would likely need to be higher on average to ensure a given level of lender resilience.

- Key open question around optimal interaction of tools in a future mortgage-driven credit upswing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel of policy transmission for mortgage measures</th>
<th>Overlap with CCyB?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduce likelihood that borrowers will default on their debt and, by extension, risks to bank solvency / provision of financial services to economy</td>
<td>Yes (in principle, could have plenty of capital to absorb losses when exogenous shocks hit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce likelihood that borrowers will be distressed and, by extension, that they amplify macro shock through spending cuts in a stress</td>
<td>No (bank capital can do nothing for spending behaviour of highly indebted households in distress)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevent credit – house price spiral from emerging and, by extension, reduce likelihood of endogenous shock stemming from pro-cyclical behaviour of lenders and borrowers</td>
<td>Unlikely (evidence suggests bank capital not particularly effective at curbing credit cycle, it’s there to absorb losses)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where research can help policy

- **Interactions of macroprudential tools (like this paper!):** Given the different dimensions of systemic risk and the range of tools available to macroprudential policymakers, this is a ripe area for research, especially around optimal combination of tools.

- **Understanding impact of mortgage measures in the context of broader housing market:** Limited research that incorporates macroprudential policy in a richer model of the housing market (covering supply of housing, rental growth, different market segments, etc).

- **Household debt versus corporate debt:** Given global context of growth in riskier forms of corporate debt, how concerned should we be about borrower resilience of corporates? Are the externalities and frictions similar to households? What are the policy implications?
Key messages

• Insufficient lender and borrower resilience at the root of the crisis in Ireland

• Central Bank has deployed macroprudential tools to strengthen both

• Maintaining legitimacy of borrower-based tools over time key challenge

• Borrower and lender-based tools (partially) complementary

• Interaction of tools, especially in an upswing an important, open question